Texas Department of Insurance
Division of Workers' Compensation
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645
518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

RENAISSANCE HOSPITAL
C/O BURTON & HYDE PLLC
PO BOX 684749
AUSTIN TX 78768-4749

Respondent Name

AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-08-1159-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "…the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount for this hospital outpatient admission should be commensurate with the average amount paid by all insurance carriers in the Texas workers' compensation system in the same year as this admission for those admissions involving the same Principal Diagnosis Code and Principal Procedure Code."

Amount in Dispute: $4,770.05

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "In light of the reduced expenses incurred in an outpatient setting, it is unreasonable to pay more for an outpatient procedure or surgery than an inpatient surgery. The established per diem rate for an inpatient surgical day is set at $1,118.00. The per diem rate for a non-surgical inpatient medical stay is set at $870.00. Using these two rates as anchor points, reimbursement is determined based on the amount of time spent in the operating room Coventry Healthcare/Concentra have determined that the provider was not due additional money. It has been determined that Coventry/formally Concentra will stand on our original recommendation of $1100.00."

Response Submitted by: Coventry Workers' Comp Services, 5130 Eisenhower Boulevard, Suite 150, Tampa, FL 33634.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 20, 2006	Outpatient Surgery	$4,770.05	$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 3561, requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on October 15, 2007.
5. U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Michael Lynn issued a "STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY TO PERMIT CONTINUANCE AND ADJUDICATION OF DISPUTED WORKERS COMPENSATION CLAIMS BEFORE THE TEXAS STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS," dated August 27, 2010, in the case of *In re: Renaissance Hospital – Grand Prairie, Inc. d/b/a/ Renaissance Hospital – Grand Prairie, et al.*, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division in Case No. 08-43775-7. The order lifted the automatic stay to allow continuance of the Claim Adjudication Process as to the Workers' Compensation Receivables before SOAH, effective October 1, 2010. The order specified John Dee Spicer as the Chapter 7 Trustee of the debtor's estate. By letter dated October 5, 2010, Mr. Spicer provided express written authorization for Cass Burton of the law office of Burton & Hyde, PLLC, PO Box 684749, Austin, Texas 78768-4749, to be the point of contact on Mr. Spicer's behalf relating to matters between and among the debtors and the Division concerning medical fee disputes. The Division will utilize this address in all communications with the requestor regarding this medical fee dispute.
6. By letter dated May 26, 2011, the attorney for the requestor provided *REQUESTOR'S AMENDED POSITION STATEMENT (RENAISSANCE HOSPITAL – DALLAS)* that specified, in pertinent parts, an "Additional Reimbursement Amount Owed" of $1,000.00 and an "alternative" "Additional Reimbursement Amount Owed" of $4,334.04. The Division notes that the amount in dispute of $4,770.05 specified above is the original amount in dispute as indicated in the requestor's *TABLE OF DISPUTED SERVICES* submitted prior to the *REQUESTOR'S AMENDED POSITION STATEMENT*.
7. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
   - 97–Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
   - 855-013–Payment denied-the service is included in the global value of another billed procedure, $0.00
   - W10–No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology
   - 850-068–The recommended payment reflects a fair, reasonable and consistent methodology for reimbursement pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 413.011 (D)
   - W3–Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
   - 920-010–Upon receipt of requested report, the recommended allowance has been adjusted

Findings

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
   - The requestor's amended position statement asserts that "…the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount for this hospital outpatient admission should be commensurate with the average amount paid by all insurance carriers in the Texas workers' compensation system in the same year as this admission for those admissions involving the same Principal Diagnosis Code and Principal Procedure Code."
   - In support of the requested reimbursement methodology the requestor states that "Ordering additional reimbursement based on the average amount paid system-wide in Texas achieves effective medical cost control because it prevents overpayment... creates an expectation of fair reimbursement; and… encourages

health care providers to continue to offer quality medical care to injured employees… Ordering additional reimbursement for at least the average amount paid for a hospital outpatient admission during the same year of service and involving the same Principal Diagnosis Code and Principal Procedure Code ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement… The average amount paid for similar admissions as put forward by the Requestor is based on a study of data maintained by the Division."

- The requestor submitted documentation to support the state-wide, annual, average reimbursement in Texas for the principal diagnosis code and principal procedure code of the disputed services during the year that the services were rendered.
- The requestor has explained and supported that the requested reimbursement methodology would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is supported. Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has discussed, demonstrated, and justified that the average amount paid by all insurance carriers in the Texas workers' compensation system in the same year as the disputed admission for those admissions involving the same principal diagnosis code and principal procedure code is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute.

2. In the alternative, the requestor proposes that "it is justifiable to order additional reimbursement under the Hospital Facility Fee Guidelines – Outpatient because the Division's new fee guidelines, while not in effect at that time, are presumptively fair and reasonable reimbursement under the law and data from the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System for this date of service is available for calculating the amount due." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
   - In support of the alternative requested reimbursement methodology the requestor states that "The data necessary to calculate the Maximum Allowable Reimbursement for this year of service is readily available from the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System. Therefore, the new fee guidelines as adopted in 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §134.403 provide a presumptive measure for the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount."
   - The requestor did not submit documentation to support the Medicare payment calculation for the services in dispute.
   - The fee guidelines as adopted in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 were not in effect during the time period when the disputed services were rendered.
   - The Division disagrees that the fee guidelines as set forth in §134.403 are "presumptively fair and reasonable reimbursement under the law" for dates of service prior to the date the rule became effective. No documentation was found to support such a presumption under law.
   - While the Division has previously found that Medicare patients are of an equivalent standard of living to workers' compensation patients (22 *Texas Register* 6284), Texas Labor Code §413.011(b) requires that "In determining the appropriate fees, the commissioner shall also develop one or more conversion factors or other payment adjustment factors taking into account economic indicators in health care and the requirements of Subsection (d)… This section does not adopt the Medicare fee schedule, and the commissioner may not adopt conversion factors or other payment adjustment factors based solely on those factors as developed by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services."
   - The requestor did not discuss or present documentation to support how applying the proposed payment adjustment factors as adopted in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, effective for dates of service on or after March 1st, 2008, would provide fair and reasonable reimbursement for the disputed services during the time period that treatment was rendered to the injured worker.
   - The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the alternative requested reimbursement.
   - The requestor did not support that the requested alternative reimbursement methodology would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

   The request for the alternative additional amount of $4,334.04 is not supported. The requestor has not demonstrated or presented sufficient documentation to support that the alternative additional amount requested of $4,334.04 would provide a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(A)(iv)(V), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to requests filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the respondent to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount the respondent paid is a fair and reasonable reimbursement in accordance with Labor Code §413.011 and §134.1 of this title if the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a MAR, as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:

- The respondent's position statement asserts that "… reimbursement is determined based on the amount of time spent in the operating room Coventry Healthcare/Concentra have determined that the provider was not due additional money. It has been determined that Coventry/formally Concentra will stand on our original recommendation of $1100.00."
- The respondent did not discuss or explain how the amount paid represents a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The respondent did not submit documentation to support that the amount paid is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the disputed services.
- The respondent did not submit nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support that the amount paid is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The respondent did not explain how the amount paid satisfies the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The respondent's position is not supported. Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds that the respondent has not demonstrated or justified that the amount paid is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the respondent has not met the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(2)(A)(iv)(V).

4. The Division finds that the documentation submitted in support of the fair and reasonable methodology proposed by the requestor based on the average amount paid by all insurance carriers in the same year for admissions involving the same principal diagnosis code and principal procedure code as the services in dispute is the best evidence in this dispute of an amount that will achieve a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute. Reimbursement will therefore be calculated as follows. Review of the medical bill finds that the principal diagnosis code for the disputed services is 376.52. The principal procedure code is 76.79. The requestor submitted documentation to support that the average, state-wide reimbursement for this diagnosis code and procedure code performed in 2006 was $1,000.00. This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of $1,100.00 leaves $0.00 due to the requestor.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amounts sought by the requestor. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is $0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

Authorized Signature

Signature	[redacted]	October 7, 2011
	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.